# A C-LESS ASK DEMODULATOR FOR IMPLANTABLE NEURAL INTERFACING CHIPS<sup>§</sup>

Chua-Chin Wang<sup>†</sup>, Ya-Hsin Hsueh, U Fat Chio<sup>‡</sup>, and Yu-Tzu Hsiao

Department of Electrical Engineering National Sun Yat-Sen University Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424 email : ccwang@ee.nsysu.edu.tw

## ABSTRACT

Various implantable micro-stimulators have been proposed for clinical applications in recent years. Most of the nobattery implanted devices can be powered by a transcutaneous magnetic coupling, which basically utilizes an external transmitter coil to power and communicate with the implanted device. Reducing chip area of the implanted device is the key to any field application. We propos a C-less (no capacitor) area-saving ASK demodulator in this work. Besides, the power regulator design is another task to conquer because of the low-efficient RF induced power. Therefore, a complete power regulator supplying a stable 3.3V VDD is also present.

Keywords : implantable devices, neural interface, microstimulator, wireless transmission, regulator

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The implantable stimulator is one of the recent major medical reaserch topics. It is widely used in ladder leakage control [2], muscle nerve stimulation [5], and Cochlear implants [1]. The implanted device can be powered by a transcutaneous magnetic coupling method using an external transmitter coil to power and communicate with the implanted devices. One of the most important issues for implantable devices is the chip area. Another one would be whether there is an internal stable power supply. Most of the prior implanted devices adopted ASK (amplitude shift keying) modulation because its simplicity. However, those prior designs for the ASK demodulator in implanted devices, [6], [7], [8], contained a large capacitor, which either occupies a huge area in the SOC (system-on-chip) chip or becomes as discrete component on a PCB. By contrast, the proposed ASK demodulator design contains no capacitor which leads to a much smaller area. Meanwhile, since the internal power is generated by the RF induced signal, a supply and temperature independent regulator is required to ensure the functionality of the system operation. The dropout of the proposed power regulator is estimated to be 0.7V at a stable 3.3V VDD output for the core circuit. The entire circuits are implemented by TSMC (Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company) 0.35  $\mu$ m 2P4M CMOS technology.

# 2. C-LESS ASK DEMODULATOR AND POWER REGULATOR

The infrastructure of the entire micro-stimulator system is given in Fig. 1. The external control module includes PC, class E amplifier and transmitter coil. Amplitude shift keying (ASK) modulation protocol is employed to transfer the external control data and power to the internal stimulation chip by the class E amplifier. An on-chip power regulator is required to supply a stable VDD output voltage to the internal core by regulating the a power generated by the on-board coupling coils. We propose a C-less ASK demodulator and power regulator circuit to carry out the decode of the external data and the power.

#### 2.1. On-chip C-less ASK demodulator

The data flow of C-less (capacitor-less) ASK demodulator is shown in Fig. 2, while the entire circuit is given in Fig. 3. The proposed design is divided into three parts, which are an envelope detector, a threshold detector, and a load driver.

 envelope detector: Not only the supply-independent bias circuit locks the DC level, it also can trace the voltage divider's output envelope. PM103 provides a current through PM102 and NM101 to ground upon start-up. According to [3], if we ignore the body effect, then

$$I_{out} = \frac{2}{\mu_n C_{ox}(\frac{W}{L})_{NMOS}} \cdot \frac{1}{R_S^2} (1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\mu_n$  is electron mobility,  $C_{ox}$  is gate oxide capacitance per unit area, k is a multiple of NM102 to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup> This research was partially supported by National Science Council under grant NSC 92-2218-E-110-001 and National Health Research Institutes under grant NHRI-EX93-9319EI.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> the contact author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Mr. Chio is a graduate student of Institute of Communication Engineering, National Sun Yat-Sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan 80424.

NM101. It shows that the circuit can provide a stable output current, since  $I_{out}$  is irrelevant to VDD by Eqn. (1) even if the power supply is fluttered.

- 2). threshold detector: The raw digital data signal is sliced by the Schmitt trigger circuit. Fig. 4 is the transfer curve of the Schmitt trigger [4]. The low switching voltage  $V_{SPL}$ =0.8V, and high switching voltage  $V_{SPH}$ =1.7V.
- 3). load driver: It is a buffer composed of two cascaded inverters to increase the signal driving capability.

Fig. 5 shows the equivalent circuit of the envelope detector, where the Schmitt trigger is replaced with a capacitor ( $C_L$ ). Notably, PM103 is removed owing to that it is a start up circuit. Thus, NM101 and PM102 are, respectively, equal to a diode. The threshold voltage of NMOS transistors is  $V_{THN}$  (typically = 0.6V), and PMOS transistors is  $V_{THP}$  (typically = -0.8V). The logic level LOW of the ASK\_SIG is -2.5~2.5, and the logic level HIGH is -3.34~3.34.

- (A) Initialization: In the first cycle of the ASK\_SIG,  $V_X$ =ASK\_SIG- $V_{SD}(PM101)$ .
  - initially,  $V_X < V_{THN}$ : When ASK\_SIG is varied from -2.5 to 2.5V for a coded "0" (or -3.34 to 3.34V for a coded "1"), NM101 and NM102 are cutoff  $\Rightarrow$ ENV\_SIG=0.
  - $V_X > V_{THN}$ : NM101 and NN102 are saturated  $\Rightarrow$ ENV\_SIG= $V_{DS(NM102)} + I_e \cdot R_S$ . Hence, the voltage of  $C_L$  ( $V_{C_L}$ ) is not zero.
  - again,  $V_X < V_{THN}$ : When ASK\_SIG from 2.5 to -2.5V (or 3.34 to -3.34V), NM101 and NM102 are cutoff  $\Rightarrow$  ENV\_SIG= $V_{C_L}$ .

(B) In the rest of the ASK\_SIG cycles:

- when ASK\_SIG-ENV\_SIG> $V_{THP}$  and  $V_X < V_{THN}$ : PM101 and PM102 are in the saturation region, while NM101 and NM102 are cutoff.  $\Rightarrow$  The capacitor  $C_L$ is to be charged.
- when ASK\_SIG-ENV\_SIG>V<sub>THP</sub> and V<sub>X</sub> >V<sub>THN</sub>: PM101, PM102, NM101, and NM102 are all in the saturation region. ⇒ ENV\_SIG=V<sub>DS(NM102)</sub>+I<sub>e</sub>·R<sub>S</sub>.
- when ASK\_SIG-ENV\_SIG<V<sub>THP</sub> and V<sub>X</sub> <V<sub>THN</sub>: PM101, PM102, NM101, and NM102 are all cutoff  $\Rightarrow$  ENV\_SIG=V<sub>CL</sub>=0.36V (when ASK\_SIG is "0"), ENV\_SIG=V<sub>CL</sub>=0.95V (when ASK\_SIG is "1").

### 2.2. Power recovery circuit

The power of the core circuit is generated by the induced RF signal. The voltage swing of the induced signal is as high as  $\pm 24$  V. Therefore, the signal must be rectified and regulated before it is delivered to the chip.

## 2.2.1. On-chip power regulator

The implanted device design must be aimed at reducing the circuit area and power. Hence, the number of passive elements must be as few as possible. The LDO (low dropout) voltage regulator circuit, as shown in Fig. 6, is composed of 3 sub-circuits [4], [9], [10].

- 1). start-up: The startup circuit is required to prevent the self-biased circuit from the zero current state. After the bandgap circuit reaches a stable operating point, the start-up circuit stops sinking current and presents a high impedance.
- 2). bandgap: It is a bandgap design with substrate PNP BJT technique [4]. The voltage output is simulated to be 1.18V, which is close to the expected 1.13V.

$$\mathsf{VREF}=(l \cdot n \cdot \ln K) \cdot nV_T \cdot \ln \frac{I}{K \cdot L_2} = 1.13 \mathsf{V}$$

where l=11.628 is a factor for temperature coefficient, n=1 is the emission coefficient, K=5 is the multiple of diode emitter area (Fig. 6),  $V_T=0.026$ V,  $I = 7.625\mu$ A,  $I_S$  is the saturation current=  $2.95 \times 10^{-17}$ A in TSMC 0.35  $\mu$ m 2P4M CMOS process.

3). error amplifier and pass element: The one-stage highgain differential amplifier increases the operation bandwidth, lock time, and PSRR (power supply ripple rejection). When the aspect ratio of the pass element PM211 increases, not only it decreases the dropout voltage, but also increases the driving capability.

#### 3. SIMULATION AND IMPLEMENTATION

The chip is designed by using TSMC (Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company)  $0.35 \,\mu\text{m}$  2P4M CMOS process. The layout of the ASK demodulator is shown in Fig. 7. Fig. 8 is the worst-case post-layout simulation given the SS model, and  $36^{\circ}$ C. The characteristics of ASK the demodulator is tabulated in Table 1.

freq. of the input	data rate: 10 Kbps,	
	carrier freq.: 2 MHz	
voltage level of the input	logic low level: 2.4~2.6 V,	
	logic high level: 3.2~3.4 V	
freq. of the	20 KHz,	
demodulator output	duty cycle: 50.1~50.6%	
logic level of the	logic low level: 0 V,	
demodulator output	logic high level: 3 V	
power consumption	10.234 mW (at 2 MHz)¶	
¶:the load at the O/P of ASK demodulator is 10pF and 1K $\Omega$ .		

Table 1. Characteristics of ASK demodulator

The layout of voltage regulator is shown in Fig. 9. Fig. 10 is the worst-case post-layout simulation given SS model, and 36°C, with input voltage varying from 4 to 5 V. The characteristics of voltage regulator is listed in Table 2. Fig. 11 shows the output voltage vs. temperature.

Table 2. Characteristics of voltage regulator

input voltage	4~5 V, 0~3MHz	
output voltage	3.31 V±0.12% (36~41°C)	
power consumption	23.9 mW (at 2 MHz) <sup><math>\pounds</math></sup>	
$f$ :the load at the O/P of ASK demodulator is 10pF and 1K $\Omega$ .		

The comparison of the proposed ASK demodulator with several prior works is summarized in Table 3. It shows that our design is the only one without using any capacitor.

Table 3. Comparison with prior ASK demodulators

Design	capacitor	MOS #
Liu's [6]	10 pF	14
Barú's [7]	10 pF	13
Yu's [8]	3 capacitors	13
Ours	0	16
Note: A 10 pF capacitor occupies roughly 0.01197 mm <sup>2</sup>		

in the 0.35  $\mu$ m 2P4M CMOS process.

## 4. CONCLUSION

A small-area solution for an implantable ASK demodulator and a stable 3.3 V on-chip voltage regulator design are present in this work. The MOS count and the capacitor size are clearly analyzed. The post-layout simulation results turn out to be very appealing.

## 5. REFERENCES

- R. E. Isaacs, D. J. Weber, and A. B. Schwartz, "Work toward real-time control of a cortical neural prosthesis," *IEEE Trans. on Rehabilation Engineering*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 196-198, June 2000.
- [2] J. S. Walter, J. S. Wheeler, W. Cai, W. W. King, and R. D. Wurster, "Evaluation of a suture electrode for direct bladder stimulation in a lower motor neuron lesioned animal mode," *IEEE Trans. on Rehabilation Engineering*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 159-166, June 1999.
- [3] B. Razavi, *Design of analog cmos integrated circuits*, new York: McGraw-Hill, pp. 377-380, 2001.
- [4] R.J. Baker, H. W. Li, D. E. Boyce, CMOS circuit design, layout, and simulation, new York: Wiley-Interscience, 1998.
- [5] G.E. Loeb, F.J.R. Richmond, D. Olney, T. Cameron, A.C. Dupont, K. Hood, R.A. Peck, P.R. Troyk, H. Schulman, "BION<sup>TM</sup>. Bionic neurons for functional and therapeutic electrical stimulation," in *Proc. 20th IEEE-EMBS*, vol. 5, pp. 2305-2309, 1998.
- [6] W. Liu, K. Vichienchom, M. Clements, S.C. De-Marco, C. Hughes, E. McGucken, M.S. Humayun,

E. De Juan, J.D. Weiland, R. Greenberg, "A neurostimulus chip with telemetry unit for retinal prosthetic device," *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 1487-1497, Oct. 2000.

- [7] M. Barú, H. Valdenegro, C. Rossi, F. Silveira, "An ASK demodulator in CMOS technology," in *Proc. IV Iberchip Workshop*, pp. 37-42, 1998.
- [8] H. Yu, K. Najafi, "Circuitry for a wireless microsystem for neural recording microprobes," in *Proc. 23rd IEEE-EMBS*, vol. 1, pp. 761-764, 2001.
- [9] G.A. Rincon-Mora, P.E. Allen, "A low-voltage, low quiescent current, low drop-out regulator," *IEEE J. of Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 36-44, Jan, 1998.
- [10] C. Simpson, "A user's guide to compensating lowdropout regulators," in *Proc. WESCON/97*, pp. 270-275, 1997.



Fig. 1. Wireless neural stimulating system



Fig. 2. The data flow of ASK demodulator



Fig. 3. Schematic diagram of the C-less ASK demodulator



Fig. 4. Transfer characteristic curve



Fig. 5. ASK demodulator equivalent circuit



Fig. 6. Schematic diagram of the power regulator



Fig. 7. Layout of C-less ASK demodulator



**Fig. 8**. Post-layout simulation result of ASK demodulator (SS, 36°C)



Fig. 9. Layout of power regulator



**Fig. 10**. Post-layout simulation result of power regulator (SS, 36°C)



Fig. 11. Regulator output voltage vs. temperature